

2024 (G24) Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program USFS - Shasta-Trinity National Forest's Factual Findings

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division's review and determination of the Applicant's final Application.

For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the [Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations webpage](https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747)
https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747

Education and Safety: G24-02-16-S01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- Location of Training/Services – 4970.13(f)(5) – The Applicant is reminded that they may only present and conduct avalanche training courses on their own land jurisdiction, as they did not provide a written permission letter from other Land Managers. The Applicant must remove Modoc and Klamath National Forests language from this section.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- No change.

Project Description – All Others

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff #4 "FMSAC Executive Director" – The Applicant removed "Financial record keeping", "marketing and promotion", "regular meetings with Forest Service staff" and "management of grant agreement between MSAC and USFS from the line item. The Applicant did not remove associated costs. The Applicant listed six (6) different types of Project activities. Removing four (4) activities reduces the line item by 67%. Deduct \$4,609 from Grant and \$4,798 from match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$3,181 and match \$1,452.

- Equipment Use Expenses #1 & 3 – Contingent line item: The Applicant must clarify if the Equipment was acquired through the Grants Program.

Revised Totals:

Grant Request: \$31,166

Match: \$46,278 Total

Project Cost: \$77,444

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 – The Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.
- #4 – The Project Description section and the narrative do not support "Siskiyou Outdoor Recreation Alliance" as a partner. "Marketing and promotion of avalanche education programs and events" is not considered to actively participate in the Project. Deduct 0 points.
- #7 – The narrative does not support the selection of "Evaluation of the Project process". While the Applicant updated the narratives, the information provided does not support the clearly identifiable and/or measurable elements to substantiate the selection. Deduct 2 points.
- #8 – The Project Description section and the narrative do not support the selection of "Interpretive talks, rides, events". The Applicant did not provide additional details in these sections to support the selection. Deduct 2 points.
- #9 – The narrative does not support the selection. The Applicant did not provide additional information if the quantity is the same as listed in the previous year's Application. Deduct 3 points.
- #10 – The narrative does not support the selection. Data must align with the information provided in evaluation criteria #8 and correlate with the response provided in the narrative of evaluation criteria #9. Deduct 1 point.